#### Exhibit 3

Plaintiff's Deposition Designations with Defendants' Objections and Counter-Designations

#### May 11, 2022 – Andew Coppola:

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further Designations for Completeness	XOOM Objections to Plaintiff's Counter- Counterdesignations
30:22–31:2	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	31:3–32:1	None	
34:10–12	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	32:21–34:9	None	
		34:13–15	None	
36:1–39:19	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable-rate setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading	39:21–40:19	None through 40:3 40:4–40:19: 402 (relevance); 403 (undue delay, wasting time)	
		41:1–4	402 (relevance – unrelated to rate setting); 403 (undue delay, wasting time); 602 (speculation)	
		41:22–43:25	402 (relevance – unrelated to XOOM); 403 (undue delay, wasting time)	
49:16–51:20	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	49:2–15	None	
	<i>g y</i> ,	51:21–23	None	
		52:11–53:6	None	

		53:21–22	None
51:24–52:10	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	51:21–23	None
		52:11–53:6	None
		53:21–22	None
75:11–19	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	74:21–75:10	None
		75:20–77:8	None for 76:10–77:8
			75:20–76:9: 402
			(relevance – unrelated to rate
			setting); 403 (undue
			delay, wasting time)
77:22-79:21	Rule 401, Relevance – no bearing on claim at issue;		
	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading;		
	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701,		
01 10 02 6	Speculation Date 102 No. 1	02.5 04.5	27
81:18–83:6	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	83:7 –84:5	None
84:6–85:7	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	83:7 -84:5	None
01.0 05.7	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,	03.7 01.3	rone
	misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,	85:8-86:18	402 (relevance –
	Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without		unrelated to NY rate
	context		setting); 403 (undue
			delay, wasting time); 602 (speculation)
86:19–88:14	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	85:8–86:18	402 (relevance –
00.17 00.14	danger of misleading the jury without context	05.0 00.10	unrelated to NY rate
			setting); 403 (undue

		88:15–91:10	delay, wasting time); 602 (speculation)  403 (undue delay, wasting time)
91:13-96:2	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; XOOM also incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
97:22–98:18	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading		
107:6–108:1	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable ratesetting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
125:4–17			
136:5–24	Rule 401, Relevance – answer to hypothetical question can have no relevance; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence		
142:10– 144:7	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
147:1–148:9	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	148:10–150:13	None
150:15– 151:20	Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	148:10–150:13	None
152:4-23		1.5.1.0.1.5.1	121212
157:2–14	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	154:18–157:1	154:5–17

159:9–160:7	Rule 401, Relevance – Coppola's guesses as to what Ryan Park thought or meant are not probative; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	160:8–25	161:1–162:21	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial;
162:4–21	Rule 401, Relevance – competitive intelligence not relevant to variable rate-setting; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial; Best Evidence Rule			
163:20– 164:3	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit			
167:22– 168:14	Rule 401, Relevance – ratio of variable-rate to fixed- rate customers not pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	166:14–167:21	None	
168:22– 170:19	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	170:20–173:11	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	
175:7–24	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	169:4–173:11	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	
		175:25–177:25	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	

		198:9–200:15	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay; misleading the jury); 602 (speculation)	
178:1–8	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	175:25–177:25	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	
189:20- 190:1	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit			
191:25– 195:10	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule			
198:4–8	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	198:9–200:15	403 (wasting time, undue delay; misleading the jury); 602 (speculation); NR	
202:21– 203:1	Relevance –unrelated to variable rate-setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	203:19–204:16	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	
215:24– 216:11	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context; Best Evidence Rule	217:13–218:3	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	

220:23– 221:18	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	221:19–222:18	403 (wasting time, undue delay) 222:19–223:4	222:19–223:4 – Foundation; Question Assumes Facts Not in Evidence
229:19– 230:7				
233:17– 234:9	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	230:24–233:8	None for 230:24– 231:22 231:23–233:8: 403 (undue delay, wasting time)	
		234:10–19	403 (misleading the jury); 602 (speculation)	
		248:18–251:15	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)	
		284:14–285:2	611c	
		285:3–25	611c; AS	
		286:12—286:20	611c	
235:6–25				
237:22– 239:3	Rule 401, Relevance –unrelated to variable rate-setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	237:8–21 284:14–285:2	None 611c	

		286:12—286:20	611c	
252:9-20	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	252:21–253:5	None	
253:6–254:4	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	252:21–253:5	None	
		254:5–22	403 (misleading the jury); 602 (speculation)	
			254:23–256:3	
259:9–18	Rule 401, Relevance –unrelated to variable rate-setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	257:23–259:8	403 (misleading the jury); 602 (speculation); A	Rule 401, Relevance  - Coppola's testimony concerning what Loehde said is
		259:19–260:8	403 (undue delay, wasting time); NR	not probative; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation
262:24– 263:20	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	261:10–262:23	602 (speculation)	
		263:21–19	Improper designation	
277:16– 278:1	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	278:2–281:13	None for 279:7– 280:22	
			403 (cumulative); 611c; AS	
282:3–20	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	282:21–285:25	611c; AS	

#### <u>May 12, 2022 – Thomas Ulry:</u>

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's	Plaintiff's
		Counterdesignations	<b>Objections / Further</b>

			Designations for Completeness
14:23–15:23			
29:3–18	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	29:19-30:4	None
30:5–21	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	29:19-30:4	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context	30:22-32:4	None
32:20-33:2	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence	31:3–32:4	None
35:7–19	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	35:20-37:2	402 (relevance – unrelated to NY rate setting)
42:9–43:1	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Best Evidence Rule.		
44:3–47:12	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Best Evidence Rule.		
52:20-53:7	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	31:3–32:4	None
58:10-59:2	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
59:16–62:1	Rule 401, Relevance – sales partner focused on acquisition, meaning fixed and introductory rates, not variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value - misleading, prejudicial; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 801,	59:3–15	402 (relevance – unrelated to NY rate setting); 403 (undue delay, wasting time)
	Hearsay	62:2–14	None
62:15–63:4	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	63:5-23	None
63:24–64:3			
65:1–67:6	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation	67:7-12	None

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 10 of 29 PageID #: 9129

67:13–69:17	67:13–20: Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 401, Relevance – Ulry's testimony that he does not know what a range in a document means is not relevant  69:4–17: Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation	67:7-12	None
69:19–70:2	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	31:3–32:4	None
74:17–75:6	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	70:3–16 75:17-77:20	None Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		78:11-81:10	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
86:4–88:9	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading, ambiguous, compound questions; Rule 401, Relevance –unrelated to variable rate-setting	85:7–86:3	602 (speculation)
91:4–20	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
92:23–94:1	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading	106:6–107:2	Unrelated to designation; 402 (relevance – unrelated to rate setting); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
94:2–20	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation		

#### <u>June 24, 2022 – Troy Chidester:</u>

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further Designations for Completeness
15:14–16:5	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	16:6–22	None
		36:14–22	None
17:9–18:2	Assumes facts not in evidence; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	18:3–19:25	None
21:4–16	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	21:17-18	None
48:19–49:19	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  49:15-19: Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation	49:20–50:2	None
50:3–10	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation		
64:2–16	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable ratesetting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,	66:11–67:11	None
	misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	70:7–17	None
68:17–24	Rule 401, Relevance –fixed-rate data subject to MIL, unrelated to variable rate-setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	68:25-69:6	None

69:7–17	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	66:11–67:11	None
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading	18:13-19:25	None
93:21–94:15			
99:8–14	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	66:11–67:11	None
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading	18:13-19:25	None
104:13-20	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	66:11–67:11	None
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading	18:13-19:25	None
129:3–20			
133:6–134:19	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-		
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading		
	Objection to 134:9-15: <b>Best Evidence Rule</b> .		
135:20–136:11	Rule 401, Relevance		
137:18–138:8	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-		
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading; Best Evidence Rule.		

#### <u>June 29, 2022 – Patricia Kulesa:</u>

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further
		Counter designations	Designations for
			Completeness
39:20-41:8	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	38:17-39:19	None
	setting; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,		
	<b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without	41:9–43:12	None
	context		
100:4–11	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	99:19-100:3	None
	setting or contract at issue; Rule 32(a)(6),		

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 13 of 29 PageID #: 9132

	<b>Incomplete</b> ; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context		
102:5–9	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	101:9–102:4	None
	setting or contract at issue; Rule 32(a)(6),		
	Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of	102:10–22	None
	misleading the jury without context		

#### **July 22, 2022 – Ryan Park:**

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further Designations for Completeness
29:15–30:6	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	30:7–32:19	None
32:20–35:23	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	30:7-32:19	None
		35:24-36:16	None
36:17–37:16	Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading, ambiguous; Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence.	35:24-36:16	None
41:10–17	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	40:3-41:9	None
43:20-45:11	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	45:12-46:22	None
46:23–48:3	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	45:12-46:22	None
75:20–76:4	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	76:9–22	None
90:13–91:11	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	91:12-92:11	None
94:15–95:3			

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 14 of 29 PageID #: 9133

98:10–15	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	98:6–9;	None
		98:16–99:19	None
		100:23–107:7	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		107:16–110:14	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
99:20–100:22	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	98:6–9;	None
		98:16–99:19	402 (relevance – unrelated to Class rates); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		100:23–107:7	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		107:16–110:14	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
107:8–15	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	98:6–9;	None
		98:16–99:19	402 (relevance – unrelated to Class

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 15 of 29 PageID #: 9134

			rates); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		100:23–107:7	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		107:16-110:14	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
145:25–146:16	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
149:5–18	Rule 401, Relevance – fixed-rate margins have no bearing on this case; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading, prejudicial	149:19–153:3	602 (speculation)
190:14-191:4			
197:19–198:25	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	196:13–197:18	None
		199:1–200:7	402 (relevance – unrelated to rate setting); 403 (wasting time, undue delay, misleading the jury); 602 (speculation)
211:24–212:24	212:15-24: <b>Rule 401, Relevance</b> – unrelated to variable-rate setting; <b>Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete</b> ; <b>Rule 403, Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without context	212:25–214:11	None
217:7–17			
220:8–12	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	219:25-220:11	None

#### <u>July 27 2022 – Jason Loehde:</u>

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further Designations for Completeness
39:25–41:15	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	38:7–39:3	None
		39:19–24	None
46:14–48:25	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	46:3–13	None
		49:1–25	None
51:4-52:10	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	50:14–51:3	None
		52:11-53:20	None
53:21–54:11	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	52:11-53:20	None
		54:12–22	None
56:7–16	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	55:10–56:6	None
		56:17–58:12	None
59:11–61:19	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	61:20–63:22	None
63:23–64:5	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	61:20–63:22	None
		64:6–65:23	None
65:24–66:10	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	64:6–65:23	None
		66:11–67:8	None
67:9–16	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	66:11–67:8	None
		67:17–22	None

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 17 of 29 PageID #: 9136

67:23–68:10	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	67:17–22	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context	68:11–72:6	None
148:22–149:15	Rule 401, Relevance – whether Loehde reviewed the document in preparation for deposition is irrelevant; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
152:19–154:17	152:19-23: <b>Rule 401, Relevance</b> – the testimony by the attorney concerning the email is not admissible and is irrelevant; Rule 403, Probative Value; Best Evidence Rule		
165:9–167:18	Rule 401, Relevance – Loehde's speculation concerning the meaning of Park's comments is not relevant; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
180:11–19			
181:20–182:1	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	181:3–19	None
189:8–15			
195:12–196:4	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	194:13–195:11	None
200:3–12	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	75:9–23	Unrelated to designation; 403 (undue delay, wasting time)
		198:3–199:1	403 (cumulative); NR (deponent does not answer the question asked)
200:23–201:7	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value; XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 18 of 29 PageID #: 9137

209:2–211:8	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	211:9–15	None
211:16–212:4	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	211:9–15	None
		212:5–22	None
212:23–213:22	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	212:5–22	None
		213:23–214:25	None
215:12–218:14	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule	213:23–214:25	None
	<b>32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without context	218:15–219:4	None
219:5–22	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	218:15–219:4	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context	219:23–220:12	403 (cumulative; confusing the issues)
221:13–23	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable ratesetting Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,	220:14–221:12	None
	<b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without context	221:24–224:5	403 (confusing the issues, wasting time, undue delay)
226:2–227:11	Rule 401, Relevance – whether Loehde was or considered himself part of the management team is irrelevant; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading;		
227:20–228:4	XOOM incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
230:14–231:1	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	230:10-13	None

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 19 of 29 PageID #: 9138

233:15–234:2	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	234:3–25	None
235:2–18	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	234:3–25	None
235:19–236:17	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	236:18–237:23	403 (cumulative, wasting time, undue delay)
241:19–242:4			
247:11–250:11	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	245:5–247:10	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
251:6-22			
255:13-23			
256:7–257:10	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	257:11–259:1	None
266:15-24			
268:11–269:22	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
278:21–279:6			
279:7–281:12	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
287:25–291:5	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		
296:7–22	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Best Evidence Rule		

299:2–9		
299:16-301:23	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule	
	403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule	
	602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation;	
	Best Evidence Rule	

#### <u>July 28, 2022 – Rule 30(b)(6) (Jason Loehde):</u>

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further
			Designations for Completeness
17:3–18:7	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without	18:8–22	Completeness
18:23–19:6	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	18:8–22	
20:5–10 26:10–28:11	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	20:11–20	None

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 21 of 29 PageID #: 9140

31:9–32:7	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	32:8–39:25	Unrelated to designation; 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
		49:23–52:4	Unrelated to designation; 403 (cumulative, wasting time, undue delay)
		79:9–80:14	None
66:3–6		65:2–66:2	None
81:11–12			
85:11-87:13	Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete;	83:10-85:10	None
	Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the		
	jury without context		
91:5–92:8	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	90:1–91:4	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context		
126:16–127:1	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	127:2–18	None
107.01.101.01	danger of misleading the jury without context		
127:24–131:24	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-		
	setting and contract at issue; Rule 403, Probative		
	Value – prejudicial, misleading; Best Evidence Rule		
132:6–136:8	Rule 401, Relevance –unrelated to contract at issue;		
132.0-130.6	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading; <b>Best Evidence Rule</b> ; XOOM also		
	incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
138:13–22	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-	137:13–138:3	None
	setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6),		
	Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of		
	misleading the jury without context		

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 22 of 29 PageID #: 9141

141 7 140 2	D 1 401 D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1
141:5–142:3	Rule 401, Relevance – other contract terms, and		
	even their existence, is irrelevant; Rule 403,		
	Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Best		
	Evidence Rule		
202:17-203:8			
217:25-218:7	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	218:8–16	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context		
218:17-219:6	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –	218:8–16	None
	danger of misleading the jury without context		
221:14-222:16			
247:5–11			
248:17–24	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to variable rate-		
	setting		
264:6–18	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence;	264:19-265:13	None
	Rule 403, Probative Value – Prejudicial,		
	Misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,	284:3-22	None
	<b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without		
	context		
266:2-9			
267:8–268:18	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence;	267:1-7	None
	Rule 403, Probative Value – Prejudicial,		
	Misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,	268:19–269:7	None
	<b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without		
	context		
278:22-279:12	Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's overall gross	276:24-278:21	276:24–278:8: 402
	margins in relation to gross margin targets is		(relevance – unrelated
	irrelevant to contract claim; Rule 403, Probative		to NY rate setting);
	Value – prejudicial, misleading;		403 (wasting time,
			undue delay)
			278:9–20: None

#### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 23 of 29 PageID #: 9142

279:25–280:17	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	280:18-281:14	403 (wasting time, undue delay, misleading the jury)
285:15–24			
288:25–289:8	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	288:12–24	None
		289:9–290:12	611c; AS

#### November 22, 2022 - David Coleman:

Designation	XOOM's Objections	XOOM's Counterdesignations	Plaintiff's Objections / Further Designations for Completeness
9:21–10:11	Rule 401, Relevance – when and how expert was retained does not affect credibility or any issue in the case; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
18:6–19:4	Rule 401, Relevance – PSC information subject to MIL; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; XOOM also incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit		
19:10–20:20	Rule 401, Relevance – PSC information subject to MIL; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; XOOM also incorporates its objections to the underlying exhibit	19:5-9	None

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 24 of 29 PageID #: 9143

24:20-25:3	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning	24:6–19	None
21.20 25.5	contract interpretation is not pertinent; Rule 403,	21.0 1)	
	Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule	56:4–21	Unrelated to
	602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation;	30.1 21	designation; 402
	Rule 702, Expertise – outside the scope of opinion		(relevance – related to
	and expertise; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,		legal issue, not
	Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without		factual); 403 (wasting
	context		time, undue delay)
27:16–29:12	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning	27:2–15	403 (confusing the
_,,,,,	lay person's understanding of contract terms is not		issues, misleading the
	pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		jury); NR
	misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule		
	701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise – outside the	56:4–21	Unrelated to
	scope of opinion and expertise; Rule 32(a)(6),		designation; 402
	Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of		(relevance – related to
	misleading the jury without context		legal issue, not
			factual); 403 (wasting
			time, undue delay)
31:24-32:8	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning	32:9–24	None
	lay person's understanding of contract terms is not		
	pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,	56:4–21	Unrelated to
	misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule		designation; 402
	701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise – outside the		(relevance – related to
	scope of opinion and expertise; Rule 32(a)(6),		legal issue, not
	Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of		factual); 403 (wasting
	misleading the jury without context		time, undue delay)
33:16–21	Foundation; Assumes Facts Not in Evidence; Rule	33:22	Unnecessary
	602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation;		
	Rule 702, Expertise - outside the scope of opinions		
	and expertise; Rule 401, Relevance - expert's		
	opinion Plaintiff's experts' understanding of contract		
	terms is not pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value –		
	prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete;		

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 25 of 29 PageID #: 9144

	Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the		
	jury without context		
35:6–17	Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	34:8 –35:5	None for 34:22–35:5 34:8–21: NR
		35:18 – 36:20	402 (relevance – not related to supply costs); 403 (confusing the issues, misleading the jury); NR
36:23–37:18	Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
38:4–16	Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Vague Question; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
40:16–20	Rule 401 – Relevance – whether ESCOS provided lower rates than utilities is irrelevant to contract claim; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	39:23–15	None
42:5–11	Rule 401 – Relevance – whether ESCOS provided lower rates than utilities is irrelevant to contract claim; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	41:17–42:4	None
42:12–43:4	Rule 401 – Relevance – whether ESCOS provided lower rates than utilities is irrelevant to contract claim; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,	43:5–15	402 (relevance – witness admits he doesn't want to speculate on motives

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 26 of 29 PageID #: 9145

	Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without		of the Mirkins); 602
50.0.51.00	context	56401	(speculation); NR
50:3-51:22	Rule 401 – Relevance – expert's opinion concerning	56:4–21	Unrelated to
	contract interpretation is not pertinent; Rule 403,		designation; 402
	Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading, wasteful;		(relevance – related to
	Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701,		legal issue, not
	Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise - meaning of		factual); 403 (wasting
	contractual language is outside the scope of opinions		time, undue delay)
	and expertise; Argumentative questions; Best		
	Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule		
	<b>403</b> , <b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury		
	without context		
54:11–21	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning	54:22–55:7	None to 54:22–55:2
	what factors XOOM considered in setting rates is not		
	relevant; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		55:3–55:7: 403
	misleading, cumulative, wasteful; Rule 602,		(wasting time, undue
	Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule		delay)
	<b>702, Expertise</b> – outside the scope of opinion and		
	expertise; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403,		
	<b>Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without		
	context		
55:8–17	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning		
	what factors XOOM considered in setting rates is not		
	relevant; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading, cumulative, wasteful		
55:18–56:3	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning		
	what factors XOOM considered in setting rates is not		
	relevant; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
	misleading, cumulative		
58:1-7	Rule 401, Relevance – unrelated to contract at issue;	56:4–21	Unrelated to
	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		designation; 402
	misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule		(relevance – related to
	701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise – outside the		legal issue, not

### Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 210-3 Filed 05/10/24 Page 27 of 29 PageID #: 9146

	scope of opinion and expertise; <b>Best Evidence Rule</b> ; <b>Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete</b> ; <b>Rule 403, Misleading</b> – danger of misleading the jury without context		factual); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
60:5–62:8	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning contract interpretation is not pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise – outside the scope of opinion and expertise; Best Evidence Rule; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	56:4–21	Unrelated to designation; 402 (relevance – related to legal issue, not factual); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
66:11–15	Rule 401, Relevance – expert's opinion concerning what factors XOOM considered in setting rates is not relevant; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; cumulative, wasteful; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise – outside the scope of opinion and expertise	56:4–21	Unrelated to designation; 402 (relevance – related to legal issue, not factual); 403 (wasting time, undue delay)
72:1–19	Rule 401, Relevance - unrelated to variable rate- setting; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context	72:20–73:24	403 (cumulative of designation, wasting time, undue delay)
75:3–7	Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading, compound question		
80:18-81:1	Rule 401, Relevance – PSC information subject to MIL, whether ESCOS provided lower rates than utilities is irrelevant to contract claim; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
85:9–12	Rule 401, Relevance – speculation concerning a rate that undisputedly was not applied is not pertinent; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		

other ESCOs' margin information is irrelevant; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  88:4-7  Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  88:13-89:1  Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  90:3-7  Rule 401, Relevance — whether fixed-rate products were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  91:18-92:22  Rule 401, Relevance — Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  95:17-96:4  Rule 401, Relevance — the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12-99:12  Rule 401, Relevance — not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading			•	
403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading	86:1–11	Rule 401, Relevance – whether expert had access to		
Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  88:13–89:1  Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  90:3–7  Rule 401, Relevance – whether fixed-rate products were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  91:18–92:22  Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading 106:12–107:18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		other ESCOs' margin information is irrelevant; Rule		
Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading		403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading		
Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  90:3-7  Rule 401, Relevance – whether fixed-rate products were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  91:18-92:22  Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17-96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12-99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11-18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading 106:12-107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	88:4–7	Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value –		
prejudicial, misleading  Rule 401, Relevance – whether fixed-rate products were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  91:18–92:22  Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – different contract interpreted of misleading the jury without context  Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – different contract interpretation than found at summary danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		prejudicial, misleading		
Rule 401, Relevance – whether fixed-rate products were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading	88:13-89:1	Rule 401, Relevance; Rule 403, Probative Value –		
were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  91:18–92:22  Rule 401, Relevance — Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance — the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance — not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11–18  Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading 106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance — XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		prejudicial, misleading		
variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  91:18–92:22  Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11–18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading 106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	90:3-7	Rule 401, Relevance – whether fixed-rate products		
prejudicial, misleading  Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – different contract 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading mound at summary judgment; Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  Doi:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		were profitable is irrelevant to case concerning		
Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		variable rates; Rule 403, Probative Value –		
abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11–18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		prejudicial, misleading		
misleading  95:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	91:18-92:22	Rule 401, Relevance – Model One has been		
P5:17–96:4  Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		abandoned; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial,		
ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12—99:12 Rule 401, Relevance — not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11—18 Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  106:12—107:18 106:12—107:12: Rule 401, Relevance — XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		misleading		
does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance — not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance — XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	95:17–96:4	Rule 401, Relevance – the fact that XOOM did not	97:22–98:7	None
actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance — not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance — XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		ask the expert to calculate prior period adjustments		
prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		does not bear on whether XOOM based its rates on		
Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  98:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		actual costs; Rule 403, Probative Value –		
jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete;		
P8:12–99:12  Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Interpretation than found at summary danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the		
interpreted by the Court on summary judgment; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		jury without context		
403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading; Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading – danger of misleading the jury without context  101:11–18 Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18 106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance – XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	98:12-99:12	Rule 401, Relevance – not relevant to the contract as	99:13–21	402 (relevance –
Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading — danger of misleading the jury without context  Rule 401, Relevance — the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value — prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance — XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;				different contract
danger of misleading the jury without context    101:11-18   Rule 401, Relevance - the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility;   Rule 403, Probative Value - prejudicial, misleading   106:12-107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's   written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		1 3		
Rule 401, Relevance – the amount XOOM has paid its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18 106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		Rule 32(a)(6), Incomplete; Rule 403, Misleading –		
its expert does not bear on the case or his credibility; Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18 106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;				judgment; NR
Rule 403, Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading  106:12–107:18  106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	101:11-18			
106:12–107:18 106:12–107:12: Rule 401, Relevance XOOM's written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		· ·		
written responses to document requests not relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;				
relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;	106:12–107:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise –		relevant to merits; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge;		
		Rule 701, Speculation; Rule 702, Expertise –		

outside the scope of opinion and expertise; Rule 403,	
Probative Value – prejudicial, misleading	

#### Thomas Ulry, Todd v. XOOM Energy, LLC, 3/20/2019 (produced at XOOM INIT 000305)

Designation	Defendants' Objections	XOOM's	Plaintiff's Objections
		Counterdesignations	/ Further
			Designations for
			Completeness
101:10-22	Rule 401, Relevance - deposition testimony	108:9–20	Unrelated to
	concerning rate-setting in totally different state, under		designation; 403
	contract with different pricing terms, has no bearing		(wasting time, undue
	here; Rule 403, Probative Value – misleading,		delay)
	prejudicial; Rule 602, Personal Knowledge; Rule		
	701, Speculation; Rule 32(a)(8), Deposition from		
	Earlier Action – deposition from earlier action not		
	involving the same subject matter or the same parties		
	cannot be introduced		

XOOM's objections, responses, and counterdesignations (like all pretrial exchanges) are subject to XOOM's forthcoming *in limine* motions and XOOM's objections and responses to Plaintiff's proposed exhibits.

XOOM reserves the right to introduce any deposition excerpt on Plaintiff's list. XOOM further reserves the right to amend and update its objections and counterdesignations until trial.

XOOM serves these objections, responses, and counterdesignations subject to its general objections, including its objection to the disclosure of any non-relevant confidential and trade-secret information, whether within the deposition testimony designated by either party or in any exhibit referenced therein. XOOM anticipates redacting such non-relevant confidential and trade-secret information from all trial exhibits following the Court's rulings on XOOM's motions *in limine*. XOOM further objects to the use of deposition testimony from any witness who is available for trial, other than for the purpose of impeachment. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 32(a)(4). XOOM further incorporates by reference to these objections all objections it makes to the exhibits being discussed or referenced in Plaintiff's deposition designations.